

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

TUESDAY, THE 27TH FEBRUARY 2007 / 8TH PHALGUNA 1928

WP(C).No. 4790 of 2007(T)

PETITIONER:

M.BALASUBRAMANIAM, MEMBER NO.21241,
SOUTH DIVISION, CHOKANAD ESTATE, MUNNAR,
IDUKKI DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.N.K.SUBRAMANIAN

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE DEVICOLAM TALUK PLANTATION WORKERS'
CO-OPERATIVE CREDIT SOCIETY LTD., NO.K 382,
MUNNAR, IDUKKI DISTRICT, REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY.
2. THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS,
THE DEVICOLAM TALUK PLANTATION WORKERS'
CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETY LTD., NO.K 382,
MUNNAR, IDUKKI DISTRICT,
REPRESENTED BY ITS PRESIDENT.
3. A.K.MANI,
MEMBERSHIP NO.17185, NADAYAR ROAD, MUNNAR P.O.,
IDUKKI DISTRICT. (NOW ACTING AS PRESIDENT,
DEVICOLAM PLANTATION WORKERS' CREDIT SOCIETY LTD,
K 382, MUNNAR P.O., IDUKKI DIST.).
4. THE REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
5. THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, MUNNAR.
6. THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, MUNNAR.
7. THE JOINT REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE
SOCIETIES (GENERAL), PAINAVU, IDUKKI.

BY ADV. SRI.JOICE GEORGE
SR. GOVT. PLEADER SRI. P.K. RAVIKRISHNAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 27/02/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

S. Siri Jagan, J.
=====

W.P(C) No. 4790 of 2007

=====

Dated this, the 27th February, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

In this writ petition, the primary contention of the petitioner is that in view of the mismanagement by the present office bearers of the Society, the Society is liable to be proceeded against under Section 32 of the Kerala Co-operative Societies Act.

2. I find that in W.P(C) No. 25731/2006, one of the present office bearers is actually challenging the orders of supersession issued by the Government under Section 32. In such circumstances, this writ petition has become infructuous. However, the petitioner submits that he has filed applications pointing out certain irregularities which are not part of the proceedings on the basis of which Section 32 proceedings have been initiated. It would be open to the petitioner to bring it to the attention of the appropriate authorities. The writ petition is accordingly closed as infructuous.

S. Siri Jagan, Judge.

Tds/